RE: Emerson House Eccles

RE: Emerson House Eccles

David Dyson (DavidDyson@frasc.co.uk)

Add to contacts

29/06/2012

To: John Dooley

THE MAIN POINT IS
THE PROJECT TOOK PLACE.
WHAT IT CONSISTED OF
IS NOT DISPUTED AND IS AS
PHOTOGRAPHED.
DD 1.12.16.



John,
What you have e mailed is not an accurate record of what was said, you have twisted it to suite your purpose.
I explained that I had little knowledge of the Project, the Engineer who was running it has since died and the Contract File would now be archived.
David Dyson

From: John Dooley
Sent: 29 June 2012 13:35
To: David Dyson
Subject: Emerson House Eccles

David

Thanks for taking a few minutes to talk just now and for confirming that your company installed the replacement air conditioning system about 6 years ago.

For the record to confirm then the questions I was asking were:

1. Was the removal of individual fresh air induction units and replacement with re-circ only type intended to be the heating and cooling system only - with fresh air to be a separate system which presumably was never ordered? If that was the case presumably you would have on an old file your warning to the client that the system was incomplete.

2. Is the system intended to be complete and in your view was leaving just a few open air bricks and the original return air grilles intended to be adequate. I got the impression this was what you were saying.

It seems very clear to me that the lack of a mechanical fresh air system to this building is a gross breach of both Building Regs and the Working Places Regulations - although I accept what you said that a building (you gave a school as an example) can be specially designed to have a natural ventilation system. This does not of course apply to Emerson House.

Thanks again

John Dooley